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Motivation: There is a dichotomy between multiple variables and parameters. Harmonic analysis using objects defined by multiple parameters, such as rectangles, raise new difficulties. Starting with the theme of the maximal function, we can see how the strong maximal function defined with respect to rectangles is different from the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

1. Strong maximal function $M_{s}=\sup _{R} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R}|f|$.
2. Biparameter Hilbert transform $H_{1} H_{2}=* \frac{1}{x_{1} x_{2}}$
3. Generalizing Calderon-Zygmund conditions takes some work
4. Two extremes to defining $\langle T f, g\rangle$ - vector valued (Journe) or tensor products

We'll prove a new representation theorem for the desired $\langle T f, g\rangle$ in terms of simplier shift operators, through a new characterization of Calderon-Zygmund operators, inspired by [3], without resorting to vector valued techniques or a priori boundedness estimates.

A Calderon-Zygmund operator $T$ is an operator with the following representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T f, g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} K(x, y) f(y) g(x) d x d y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are from $L^{2}$ (though eventually we'll expand on this using $T(1)$ type theorems). The many requirements of the objects involved include: $f=f_{1} f_{2}=f_{1} \otimes f_{2}, \operatorname{supp}\left(f_{1} \cap g_{1}\right)=\emptyset$.
And for $K$ :

## decay condition:

$$
|K(x, y)| \leq C \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{m}}
$$

Holder smoothness
$\left|K(x, y)-K\left(x,\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)-K\left(x,\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}\right)\right)+K\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C \frac{\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}} \frac{\left|y_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{m+\delta}}$
whenever $\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$ and $\left|y_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| / 2$.
$\left|K(x, y)-K\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right), y\right)-K\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right), y\right)+K\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\right| \leq C \frac{\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}} \frac{\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{m+\delta}}$
whenever $\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$ and $\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| / 2$.

## The mixed smoothness:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|K(x, y)-K\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right), y\right)-K\left(x,\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}\right)\right)+K\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
\leq C \frac{\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}} \frac{\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{m+\delta}}
\end{gathered}
$$

whenever $\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$ and $\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| / 2$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|K(x, y)-K\left(x,\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)-K\left(\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right), y\right)+K\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \\
\leq C \frac{\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}} \frac{\left|y_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{m+\delta}}
\end{gathered}
$$

whenever $\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$ and $\left|y_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| / 2$.

Also, we have the combined decay/smoothness discussion (conditions provided to account for decay in one variable and Holder in the other variable).

$$
\left|K(x, y)-K\left(x,\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq C \frac{\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{m}}
$$

whenever $\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$.

$$
\left|K(x, y)-K\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right), y\right)\right| \leq C \frac{\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}} \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{m}}
$$

whenever $\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$.

$$
\left|K(x, y)-K\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right), y\right)\right| \leq C \frac{1}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{n}} \frac{\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{m+\delta}}
$$

whenever $\left|x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| / 2$.

$$
\left|K(x, y)-K\left(x,\left(y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq C \frac{1^{\delta}}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{n}} \frac{\left|y_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|^{m+\delta}}
$$

whenever $\left|y_{2}-y_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| / 2$.

First we assume the CZ structure in each parameter - the "sliced" kernel representation:

$$
\langle T f, g\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K_{f_{1}, g_{1}}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) f_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) g_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1} d y_{1}
$$

with decay

$$
\left|K_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right| \leq C\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n}}
$$

and smoothness in $x$ and $y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|K_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-K\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, y_{1}\right)\right| \leq C\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right) \frac{\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}} \\
& \left|K\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-K\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\left(f_{2}, g_{2}\right) \frac{\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{\delta}}{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{n+\delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\left|x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \ll\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$ and $\left|y_{1}-y_{1}^{\prime}\right|<\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right| / 2$. Here $C(f, g)$ is a constant where we require small control over the diagonal: $C\left(\chi_{v}, \chi_{v}\right)+C\left(\chi_{v}, u_{v}\right)+C\left(u_{v}, \chi_{v}\right) \leq C|V|$ where $u_{v}$ is $V$ adapted with zero mean, that is $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{v}\right) \subset V,\left|u_{v}\right| \leq 1$ and $\int u_{v}=0$.

1. Besides the constants' dependence, these are the same as for the single parameter case.
2. These sliced conditions are what you would expect for a tensor product generalization of singular integrals.
3. Here we see that these are just some of the requirements, as boundedness is much more complex.

Journe dealt with multiparameter operators using vector valued inequalities - defining an operator valued kernel:

## Definition

Let $B$ is a Banach space and $0<\delta<1$. Journe's vector valued kernerl is a continous function $K: \mathbb{R}^{2} / \Delta \rightarrow B$ such that:

$$
\|K(x, t)\|_{B} \leq C \frac{1}{|x-t|^{\delta}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|K(x, t)-K\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{B} \leq C \frac{\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\delta}}{|x-t|^{1+\delta}}
$$

when $\left(\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|+\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|<|x-t|\right) / 2$.
This definition encodes much complexity. Additionally, we have a priori boundedness assumptions mentioned earlier.

To get the desired $L^{2}$ bound here, we have a $T(1)$ type theorem assumptions: $T(1), T^{*}(1), T_{1}(1), T_{1}^{*}(1) \in B M O_{p}$ and a WBP: $\left|\left\langle T\left(\chi_{K} \otimes \chi_{V}\right), \chi_{K} \otimes \chi v\right\rangle\right| \leq C|K| V \mid$ for all cubes $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ where $T_{1}$ is the partial adjoint.

The $B M O_{p}$ is the product BMO space. We define dyadically:

## Definition

We say $f \in B M O_{p}$ if

$$
\sup _{\Omega} \sum_{I \times J \in \Omega}\left\langle f, h_{l} \otimes h_{J}\right\rangle^{2} \leq C|\Omega|
$$

where $\Omega$ is any open set. The $\left\{h_{l}\right\}$ are Haar functions $h_{I}=|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(\chi_{I}-\chi_{r}\right)$, where $\chi_{I}$ is the characteristic function of the left half of a dyadic interval, and $\chi_{r}$ is the right half. The $h_{l}$ form a basis of $L^{2}$ as well as many other Banach spaces, as long as we add the noncancellative constant function 1.

The Haar functions form a localized basis, which naturally fit with the dyadic structure of a space. We can then define the sqaure function via Haar:

Definition
The square function is

$$
S q(f)=\left[\sum_{K \in D_{n}} \sum_{V \in D_{m}}\left|\left\langle f, h_{K} \otimes u_{V}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \frac{\chi_{K} \otimes \chi_{V}}{|K||V|}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Then $f$ is in the product Hardy space $H^{1}$ if and only if $\left\|S_{q}(f)\right\|_{L^{1}}<\infty$.

We also need a few "diagonal" BMO conditions (using characteristic functions and some adapted functions).

The main theorem of this paper is
Theorem
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T f, g\rangle=C_{T} E_{w_{n}} E_{w_{m}} \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\max \left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) \delta / 2} 2^{-\max \left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \delta / 2}\left\langle S^{i, j} f, g\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the shifts $S$ are taken with respect to the pair of dyadic grdis $\left(\mathcal{D}_{n}, \mathcal{D}_{m}\right)$ and $w_{n} \in\left\{\{0,1\}^{n}\right\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ corresponds to a random shift.

A key concept to the proof of 4 is the use of random dyadic grids. A basic averaging property with regards to these grids will be proved, allowing us to rewrite the desired decomposition.

Good and Bad cubes We call a dyadic cube $I \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ bad if there is another cube $J$ such that both $I(J) \geq 2^{r} I(I)$ and $d(I, \partial(J)) \leq 2 I(I)^{\gamma} I(J)^{1-\gamma}$ where $\gamma=\delta /(2 n+2 \delta)$.

## Definition

Given nonnegative integers $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right),\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(i, j)} f=\sum_{K \in D_{a}} \sum_{V \in D_{b}} A_{K V} f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{K V} f=\sum_{I_{1}, l_{2} \subseteq K} \sum_{J_{1}, J_{2} \subseteq V} a_{l, K, J, K}\left\langle f, h_{l_{1}} \otimes u_{J_{1}}\right\rangle h_{l_{2}} \otimes u_{J_{2}}
$$

and $I\left(I_{1}\right)=2^{-i_{1}} I(K)$ and similarity for $i_{2}, j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$. Moreover, we have that $a \leq \frac{\left|I_{1} \| I_{2}\right| J_{1}\left|J_{2}\right|}{|K \| V|}$. and the subshifts (where $K \in A, V \in B$ ) are $L^{2}$ bounded with a maximum norm of 1 .

Note that we can rewrite the shift $S$ in the handy kernel representation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S f(x)=\sum_{K, V} A_{K V} f(x)=\sum_{K, V} \frac{1}{|K \times V|} \int_{K \times V} K_{A V}(x, y) f(y) d y \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} K_{S}(x, y) f(y) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

As an example, we can consider shifts where $i_{1}=i_{2}=j_{1}=j_{2}=1$, which give rise to kernels constant on quarters of "Haar rectangles".

Lemma
We have

$$
\langle T f, g\rangle=
$$

$C \mathbb{E} \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2} \in D_{n}} \sum_{J_{1}, J_{2} \in D_{m}} \chi_{\operatorname{good}}(s m(I)) \chi_{g o o d}(s m(J))\left\langle T\left(h_{l_{1}} \otimes u_{J_{1}}\right), h_{l_{2}} \otimes u J_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle f, h_{l_{1}} \otimes u J_{J_{1}}\right\rangle\left\langle g, h_{l_{2}} \otimes u J_{2}\right\rangle$
where $C=1 /\left(\pi_{\text {good }}^{n} \pi_{\text {good }}^{m}\right), \mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}_{w_{n}} \mathbb{E}_{w_{m}}$, and the summation over all the $2^{n}-1$ or $2^{m}-1$ cancellative Haar functions is suppressed.

1. Use trivial representation with expectation - we'll show this
2. Now take original $\langle T f, g\rangle$ can expand $f$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
3. multiply and divide by $\pi_{\text {good }}^{n}$, use independence, and fact that $\pi_{\text {good }}^{n}$ is independent of $I$
4. expand $g$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, split into two sums by lengths of $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$
5. Use independence again when $I\left(I_{2}\right)>I\left(I_{1}\right)$, compare $I\left(I_{1}\right) \leq I\left(I_{2}\right)$ to trivial sum
6. start over, expand $g$ first, compare $I\left(I_{1}\right)>I\left(I_{2}\right)$ piece
7. Now we have expansion for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
8. Now expand along $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ : expand $h_{l_{1}} \otimes\left\langle f, h_{l_{1}}\right\rangle_{1}$
9. multiply and divide, use independence, expand $g$ part, split sum

Thanks to the lemma, to prove 4 we now separate the sums over good cubes only.
$\left.\sum_{I\left(l_{1}\right) \leq I\left(l_{2}\right)} \sum_{I\left(J_{1}\right) \leq I\left(J_{2}\right)}\left\langle T\left(h_{l_{1}} \otimes u_{J_{1}}\right)\right), h_{l_{2}} \otimes u J_{J_{2}}\right\rangle\left\langle f, h_{l_{1}} \otimes u u_{J_{1}}\right\rangle\left\langle g, h_{l_{2}} \otimes u_{J_{2}}\right\rangle$

This separates into:
$\sum_{I\left(l_{1}\right) \leq I\left(l_{2}\right)}=\sum_{d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)>I\left(I_{1}\right)^{\gamma} I\left(l_{2}\right)^{1-\gamma}}+\sum_{l_{1} \subseteq l_{2}}+\sum_{l_{1}=I_{2}}+\sum_{d\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \leq I\left(I_{1}\right)^{\gamma} I\left(I_{2}\right)^{1-\gamma}, l_{1} \cap I_{2}=\emptyset}$ where the four sums are denoted separate, in, equal, and near.

We must do this for when $I\left(I_{1}\right) \leq I\left(I_{2}\right)$ and $I\left(J_{1}\right) \leq I\left(J_{2}\right)$ resulting the mixed types. Remember that we have suppressed the critical fact that all cubes are good

The proof of the main theorem is a case by case analysis of each scenario mentioned above. By extimation, each piece can be estimated by a sum of the simple shifts with good decay factors, allowing us the representation 2 and hence the following corollary. Corollary
The singular integral operator defined in 2 is $L^{2}$ bounded.

A recent application of the importance of this representation is the famous $A_{2}$ conjecture, proved by Hytonen and Lerner, though with contributions by many, many others [4],[1]. Both the techniques leading to the proof of 4 and the applications of the result are fascinating! The type of representation in 4 and the lemmas used to prove it are in the flavor of [4]. And there are many other open questions that can possibly be attacked using such a representation, as it translates singular intergral operator questions to dyadic questions.
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