

## THE UNIQUENESS OF THE DIRICHLET SPACE AMONG MOBIUS-INVARIANT HILBERT SPACES

BY

J. ARAZY AND S.D. FISHER

The Dirichlet space  $D$  on the unit disc  $\Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$  consists of those analytic functions  $f(z)$  on  $\Delta$  for which the semi-norm

$$\rho_0(f) = \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} |f'(z)|^2 dx dy \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

is finite. Equivalently if  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is the power series of  $f(z)$  valid in  $\Delta$ , then

$$\rho_0(f) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

so that  $D$  can be viewed as well as a weighted  $l^2$  space. The Dirichlet space has this fundamental property: if  $\varphi(z)$  is a Möbius function mapping the disc  $\Delta$  into itself,

$$\varphi(z) = \lambda \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}, \quad |\alpha| < 1, |\lambda| = 1, \quad (3)$$

then

$$\rho_0(f \circ \varphi) = \rho_0(f). \quad (4)$$

Property (4) follows from (1) by replacing  $f$  by  $f \circ \varphi$  and using the usual change of variables formula. In this paper we show that property (4) actually characterizes  $D$ . Indeed, we show that if  $H$  is a Hilbert space of analytic functions on  $\Delta$ , continuously contained in the Bloch space, with the property that the Möbius group acts continuously and boundedly on  $H$  by composition, then, in fact,  $H = D$  with equivalent norms. The details follow.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the group of all Möbius functions of the form (3);  $\mathcal{M}$  is topologized by making the bijection  $\varphi \leftrightarrow (\lambda, \alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $T \times \Delta$  a homeomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the Bloch space on  $\Delta$ ; this consists of all analytic

---

Received April 18, 1983.

© 1985 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

functions  $g(z)$  on  $\Delta$  for which the semi-norm

$$\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(g) = \sup_{z \in \Delta} (1 - |z|^2) |g'(z)| \tag{5}$$

is finite. The space  $\mathcal{B}$  includes the algebra  $H^\infty$  of bounded analytic functions on  $\Delta$  as well as the Dirichlet space. A linear space  $\mathcal{H}$  of analytic functions on  $\Delta$  is *Möbius invariant* if it satisfies the following condition:

$$f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H} \text{ whenever } f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{6}$$

We shall suppose that there is a semi inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ ; that is, a map of  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  which satisfies all the usual axioms of an inner product with the exception that  $(f, f) = 0$  need not imply that  $f = 0$ . Let

$$\rho(f) = (f, f)^{1/2} \geq 0, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{7}$$

We suppose further that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a linear subspace of the Bloch space  $\mathcal{B}$  and that there is a constant  $A$  with

$$\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \leq A\rho(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{H} \tag{8}$$

It follows from (8) that the kernel of the semi-norm  $\rho$  is either  $\{0\}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . We define a norm on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\|f\| = \rho(f) \quad \text{if } \rho^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \tag{9}$$

or

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\rho^2(f) + |f(0)|^2} \quad \text{if } \rho^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{C}. \tag{10}$$

We make two more assumptions:

(11)  $\mathcal{H}$  is complete in the norm given in (9) or (10);

(12) for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , the mapping  $\varphi \mapsto f \circ \varphi$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{M}$  into  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is almost immediate and certainly quite easy that the Dirichlet space  $D$  satisfies (6)–(12), with (10). For that matter so does the space of those functions  $f$  with  $f'$  in the Hardy space  $H^2$  and a number of other Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on  $\Delta$ . What we shall show in this paper, however, is that among all such Hilbert spaces *only*  $D$  satisfies (4) or even a substantial weakening of (4).

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfy (6)–(12). If*

$$\rho(f) = \rho(f \circ \varphi), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}, \varphi \in \mathcal{M} \tag{13}$$

then there is a positive constant  $\lambda$  with

$$\rho(f) = \lambda \rho_0(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{H} \tag{14}$$

and hence  $\mathcal{H}$  is exactly  $D$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfy (6)–(12). If there is a positive constant  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , with*

$$\delta \rho(f) \leq \rho(f \circ \varphi) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \rho(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}, \varphi \in \mathcal{M} \tag{15}$$

then there is a positive constant  $\nu$  with

$$\nu \rho_0(f) \leq \rho(f) \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \rho_0(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{H} \tag{16}$$

and hence  $\mathcal{H}$  is exactly  $D$ .

Theorem 1 is quite direct and is proved in Section 1. The proof of Theorem 2 is considerably more involved and it is contained in Section 2. Section 3 contains several examples which show that Theorem 2 is “best possible” in a number of ways.

*Remark.* It is worth pointing out explicitly here that conditions (6) and (15) force (8) to hold if there is at least one linear functional  $L$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies

$$|L(f)| \leq M \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in K\} \tag{17}$$

for some constant  $M$ , some compact set  $K$  in  $\Delta$ , and all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . This is a theorem of L.A. Rubel and R.M. Timoney [5]. Moreover, (17) is a natural condition if norm convergence is to imply uniform convergence on compact sets in  $\Delta$ . Hence, we may as well assume (8) initially.

We begin by obtaining several conclusions from the hypotheses (6)–(12) and (15). First let  $f$  be any non-constant function in  $\mathcal{H}$  and consider the integral

$$u_k(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}z) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$u_k$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  (by (6) and (12)) and a simple computation gives  $u_k(z) = a_k z^k$  where  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . Since  $f$  is non-constant there is at least one  $n \geq 1$  for which  $a_n \neq 0$ . Hence,  $z^n \in \mathcal{H}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $(z - r)^n / (1 - rz)^n$  lies in  $\mathcal{H}$  for every  $r$ ,  $r \in (-1, 1)$ . There are many choices of  $r$

for which

$$0 \neq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{e^{it} - r}{1 - re^{it}} \right)^n e^{-it} dt$$

and hence  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  by the argument above. This shows that  $(z - r)/(1 - rz)$  lies in  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $r \in (-1, 1)$  and yields

$$(1 - r^2)r^{k-1}z^k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{ze^{i\theta} - r}{1 - re^{i\theta}z} \right) e^{-ik\theta} d\theta, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Consequently,  $z^k$  lies in  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Further, by taking semi-norms of both sides we find that

$$(1 - r^2)r^{k-1}\rho(z^k) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \rho \left( \frac{ze^{i\theta} - r}{1 - re^{i\theta}z} \right) d\theta \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\rho(z), \quad -1 < r < 1.$$

If we choose  $r^2 = (k - 1)/k$  we obtain

$$\rho(z^k)k^{-1}(1 - 1/k)^{(k-1)/2} \leq (1/\delta)\rho(z)$$

This yields the estimate

$$\rho(z^k) \leq A'k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{18}$$

for some constant  $A'$  (and shows as well that  $\rho(z) \neq 0$ .) We see that any function analytic on a neighborhood of  $|z| \leq 1$  is in  $\mathcal{H}$  and also that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k z^k$  is absolutely convergent in  $\mathcal{H}$  for any  $r, |r| < 1$ . Hence, in the semi inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  we can bring summation from the inside to the outside and assert, for instance, that

$$\left( \frac{1}{1 - rz}, \frac{1}{1 - sz} \right) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} r^j s^k (z^j, z^k)$$

if  $-1 < r, s < 1$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and let  $r \in (0, 1)$ . We set  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ ; we actually have

$$f_r(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\psi_{\theta}(z)) P_r(\theta) d\theta$$

where  $P_r$  is the Poisson kernel and  $\psi_{\theta}(z) = e^{i\theta}z$ . Since  $f \circ \psi_{\theta}$  is a continuous function of  $\theta$  we see that  $f_r$  lies in  $\mathcal{H}$  and, further,  $f_r \rightarrow f$  in the norm of  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Hence, the functions analytic on a neighborhood of  $|z| \leq 1$  are dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**1. The proof of Theorem 1**

Note first that (13) actually gives us

$$(f \circ \varphi, g \circ \varphi) = (f, g), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}.$$

First take  $\varphi$  to be  $\psi_\theta(z) = e^{i\theta}z$ . Then

$$(z^k, z^n) = (z^k \circ \psi_\theta, z^n \circ \psi_\theta) = (e^{ik\theta}z^k, e^{in\theta}z^n) = e^{i(k-n)\theta}(z^k, z^n)$$

and so

$$(z^k, z^n) = 0 \quad \text{if } k \neq n. \tag{19}$$

Next, take  $\varphi$  to be  $\varphi_r(z) = (z - r)/(1 - rz)$ ,  $-1 < r < 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1) + r^2(z, z) &= (1 + rz, 1 + rz) \\ &= (1 + r\varphi_r, 1 + r\varphi_r) \\ &= (1 - r^2)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{2k}(z^k, z^k). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of  $r^2$  gives  $(z, z) = -2(1, 1) + (z, z)$  so that  $(1, 1) = 0$ . Further, the coefficient of  $r^{2n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , gives

$$0 = (z^n, z^n) - 2(z^{n-1}, z^{n-1}) + (z^{n-2}, z^{n-2}), \quad n \geq 2,$$

so that by solving recursively we obtain

$$(z^n, z^n) = n(z, z), \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots \tag{20}$$

Setting  $\lambda^2 = (z, z)$  we thus have

$$\rho^2(f) = (f, f) = \lambda^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n|^2 = \lambda^2 \rho_0^2(f) \tag{21}$$

as we wished to show.

**2. Proof of Theorem 2**

We begin by introducing two new semi inner products on  $\mathcal{H}$  which produce semi-norms equivalent to  $\rho$ . The first is

$$[f, g] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f \circ \psi_\theta, g \circ \psi_\theta) d\theta \tag{22}$$

and the second is

$$\langle f, g \rangle = m(f \circ \varphi_r, g \circ \varphi_r) \tag{23}$$

where, as before

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\theta(z) &= e^{i\theta}z, & -\pi \leq \theta < \pi, \\ \varphi_r(z) &= \frac{z-r}{1-rz}, & -1 < r < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and  $m$  is an invariant mean on the abelian group  $\mathcal{G} = \{\varphi_r: -1 < r < 1\}$ ; see [4]. The semi inner product in (22) is rotation invariant

$$[f \circ \psi_\theta, g \circ \psi_\theta] = [f, g], \quad -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi \tag{24}$$

while the semi-inner product in (23) is invariant under the group  $\mathcal{G}$  in the sense that

$$\langle f \circ \varphi_r, g \circ \varphi_r \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle, \quad -1 < r < 1. \tag{25}$$

Further, because of (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\rho(f) &\leq [f, f]^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\rho(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}, \\ \delta\rho(f) &\leq \langle f, f \rangle^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\rho(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the semi-norms produced by  $[ \ , \ ]$  and  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  are equivalent to  $\rho$ . Thus, we may, and we will, work with the semi inner products (22) and (23) without altering our space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We can not assume that the inner product *simultaneously* satisfies (24) and (25) unless we assume that  $\rho(f \circ \varphi) = \rho(f)$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ ; this is just the situation handled in Section 1.

Using the rotation invariant semi-norm defined in (22) we find that

$$[f, f] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 [z^n, z^n]$$

where  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence, to show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is just the Dirichlet space we need only show that there is a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$cn \leq [z^n, z^n] \leq \frac{1}{c}n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

or, equivalently,

$$c'n \leq \langle z^n, z^n \rangle \leq \frac{1}{c'}n \tag{26}$$

for some constant  $c'$ .

The semi inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is invariant under the group  $\mathcal{G}$  by (25) so that for  $n, k \geq 0$  we have

$$\langle z^n, z^k \rangle = \langle (\varphi_r)^n, (\varphi_r)^k \rangle, \quad -1 < r < 1. \tag{27}$$

The functions  $(\varphi_r)^n$  and  $(\varphi_r)^k$  are real analytic functions of  $r$  and because of (18) we know that the right-hand side of (27) is also a real analytic function of  $r$ . We differentiate the right-hand side of (27) with respect to  $r$  and then set  $r = 0$ ; by doing this we obtain

$$0 = n \langle z^{n-1}(z^2 - 1), z^k \rangle + k \langle z^n, z^{k-1}(z^2 - 1) \rangle. \tag{28}$$

Set

$$\alpha_{j,k} = \langle z^j, z^k \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_k = \alpha_{k,k} = \langle z^k, z^k \rangle.$$

From (28) we get

$$0 = n \{ \alpha_{n+1,k} - \alpha_{n-1,k} \} + k \{ \alpha_{n,k+1} - \alpha_{n,k-1} \}. \tag{29}$$

In (29), take  $n = k + 1$  and add the resulting expressions from  $k = 0$  to  $k = N$ . The result is

$$N\beta_{N+1} = \beta_0 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k - (N + 1)\alpha_{N+2,N}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\beta_{N+1}}{N + 1} = \frac{\beta_0 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k}{N(N + 1)} - \frac{\langle z^{N+2}, z^N \rangle}{N}. \tag{30}$$

In order to estimate  $\beta_n/n$  we need to get good estimates on the rate of growth of  $S_N = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k + \frac{1}{2}\beta_0$ . Set  $b_k = [z^k, z^k]$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $r \in (-1, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{-4}\beta_1 &= \delta^{-4}\langle z, z \rangle = \delta^{-4}\langle \varphi_r, \varphi_r \rangle \geq [\varphi_r, \varphi_r] \\ &= r^2b_0 + (1 - r^2)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{2k}b_{k+1} \\ &\geq (1 - r^2)^2 \sum_{k=0}^N r^{2k}b_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $r^2 = N/(N + 1)$ ; we find that

$$S_N \leq CN^2 \tag{31}$$

for some constant  $C$  and all  $N$ . This is enough to actually prove that  $\beta_n \leq C'n$  as we now show. We begin with (30).

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_{N+1}}{N+1} &= \frac{2S_N}{N(N+1)} - \frac{\langle z^N, z^{N+2} \rangle}{N} \\ &= \frac{2S_N}{N(N+1)} - \frac{1}{2N} \langle z^N + z^{N+2}, z^N + z^{N+2} \rangle + \frac{1}{2N} (\beta_N + \beta_{N+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the equivalence of the semi-norms we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta^8}{2N} \{ \beta_N + \beta_{N+2} \} &\leq \frac{1}{2N} \langle z^N + z^{N+2}, z^N + z^{N+2} \rangle \\ &= \frac{2S_N}{N(N+1)} + \frac{1}{2N} \{ \beta_N + \beta_{N+2} \} - \frac{\beta_{N+1}}{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging we have

$$2 \frac{\beta_{N+1}}{N+1} \leq A'' + (1 - \delta^8) \left\{ \frac{\beta_N}{N} + \frac{\beta_{N+2}}{N+2} \right\} \tag{32}$$

where  $A''$  is a constant which incorporates the upper bound of  $CN^2$  on  $S_N$  and the bounded term

$$(\beta_{N+2}) \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{N+2} \right).$$

Let

$$\gamma_n = \frac{\beta_n}{n} - \frac{A''}{2\delta^8}.$$

Then (32) is equivalent to

$$2\gamma_{N+1} \leq (1 - \delta^8) \{ \gamma_N + \gamma_{N+2} \} \tag{32}'$$

and we know from (31) that  $\gamma_N \leq C'N^2$  for all  $N$ . Suppose that there is some integer, say  $M$ , such that

$$\gamma_{M+1} + \gamma_M > 0, \quad \gamma_{M+1} - \gamma_M > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{M+1} - \gamma_M &\leq \gamma_{M+1} - \delta^8 \gamma_M + (1 - \delta^8) \gamma_{M+2} - 2\gamma_{M+1} \\ &= (1 - \delta^8) (\gamma_{M+2} - \gamma_{M+1}) - \delta^8 (\gamma_M + \gamma_{M+1}) \\ &< (1 - \delta^8) (\gamma_{M+2} - \gamma_{M+1}). \end{aligned}$$



Consequently,  $\gamma_{M+2} - \gamma_{M+1}$  and  $\gamma_{M+2} + \gamma_{M+1}$  are both positive and the argument can be repeated indefinitely yielding

$$\gamma_{M+1} - \gamma_M \leq (1 - \delta^8)^k \{ \gamma_{M+k+1} - \gamma_{M+k} \}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

This surely implies that the sequence  $\{ \gamma_n \}$  grows exponentially fast, contradicting (31). Hence, for every  $n$  we have either

$$(\gamma_{n+1} + \gamma_n)(\gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n) \leq 0 \tag{33}$$

or

$$\gamma_{n+1} + \gamma_n \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n \leq 0. \tag{34}$$

In either case  $\gamma_{n+1} \leq |\gamma_n|$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\gamma_n \geq -A''/2\delta^8$  for all  $n$  we see that  $\{ \gamma_n \}$  remains bounded and so

$$\beta_n \leq c'n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{35}$$

for all  $n$ , where  $c'$  is some constant.

We next establish the lower bound  $s_N \geq cN^2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^8 r^2 [z, z] &\leq \delta^8 [1 + rz, 1 + rz] \\ &\leq \delta^4 \langle 1 + rz, 1 + rz \rangle \\ &= \delta^4 \langle 1 + r\varphi_r, 1 + r\varphi_r \rangle \\ &= \delta^4 (1 - r^2)^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{1 - rz}, \frac{1}{1 - rz} \right\rangle \\ &\leq (1 - r^2)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{1 - rz}, \frac{1}{1 - rz} \right] \\ &= (1 - r^2)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{2k} b_k \\ &\leq (1 - r^2)^2 \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{mN} b_k + \sum_{mN+1}^{\infty} r^{2k} b_k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $m$  is an integer to be determined shortly. Take  $r^2 = N/(N + 1)$  and use the fact that  $b_k \leq c''k$  from (35). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{mN+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{N}{N + 1} \right)^k b_k &\leq c'' \sum_{mN+1}^{\infty} k \left( \frac{N}{N + 1} \right)^k \\ &< c'' \int_{mN}^{\infty} x e^{px} dx \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = \log(N/(N + 1))$ . The integral has the value

$$\left\{ \frac{-mN}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} \right\} \left( \frac{N}{N + 1} \right)^{mN}. \tag{36}$$

Multiply the expression in (36) by  $(1 - r^2)^2 = 1/(N + 1)^2$  and let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ; the limit is  $(m + 1)e^{-m}$ . Choose  $m$  so big that

$$c''(m + 1)e^{-m} \leq \frac{1}{3}\delta^8 b_1.$$

Hence,

$$\delta^8 \left( \frac{N}{N + 1} \right) b_1 \leq (N + 1)^{-2} \left\{ \sum_0^{mN} b_k \right\} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^8 b_1, \quad N \text{ large,}$$

and so  $\sum_0^{mN} b_k \geq \eta N^2$  for some positive constant  $\eta$ . Thus,

$$\sum_0^N b_k \geq \eta' N^2, \quad \text{all } N \tag{37}$$

as we wished to show. Combining the estimate in (37) with (30) we obtain

$$\eta' \leq \frac{\beta_{N+1}}{N + 1} + \left( \frac{\beta_N}{N} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{\beta_{N+2}}{N} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{38}$$

We shall show below that there is a constant  $\gamma$  with

$$\beta_{n+1} \leq \gamma \beta_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{39}$$

Using this in (38) we find that  $\eta' \leq 2\gamma\beta_N/N$  which together with (35) gives (26). Next, we must prove (39). To do this we write

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= [z^n, z^n] \\ &\geq \delta^4 \langle z^n, z^n \rangle \\ &= \delta^4 \langle \varphi_r^n, \varphi_r^n \rangle \\ &\geq \delta^8 [\varphi_r^n, \varphi_r^n] \\ &= \delta^8 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_{j,n}(r)|^2 b_j \\ &\geq \delta^8 |c_{n+1,n}(r)|^2 b_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where we have written

$$\varphi_r^n(z) = \left( \frac{z - r}{1 - rz} \right)^n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j,n}(r) z^j.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n+1,n}(r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{e^{it} - r}{1 - re^{it}} \right)^n e^{-i(n+1)t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{1 - re^{-it}}{1 - re^{it}} \right)^n e^{-it} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{2ri \sin t}{1 - re^{it}} \right)^n e^{-it} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $r = 1/n$  and let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; the integral converges to the value

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{2i \sin t} e^{-it} dt.$$

This integral can be easily evaluated by the residue theorem; its value is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{1}{j!(j+1)!} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{144} + \dots > 1/2$$

and so (39) is proved. The last step of the proof of Theorem 2 is to show that  $b_0 = \beta_0 = 0$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $[1, 1] = b_0 \neq 0$ ; we shall derive a contradiction. If  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , then

$$\rho^2(f) \geq \delta^2[f, f] = \delta^2 \left\{ b_0 |f(0)|^2 + \sum_1^{\infty} |a_n|^2 b_n \right\}.$$

Now if we write  $f(\varphi(z)) = f(\varphi(0)) + \sum_1^{\infty} a'_n z^n$  then we know that

$$[f \circ \varphi, f \circ \varphi] = b_0 |f(\varphi(0))|^2 + \sum_1^{\infty} |a'_n|^2 b_n.$$

The numbers  $b_n$  satisfy

$$n\nu \leq b_n \leq \frac{1}{\nu}n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^{\infty} |a'_n|^2 b_n &\geq \nu \sum_1^{\infty} |a'_n|^2 n \\ &= \nu \rho_0(f \circ \varphi) \\ &= \nu \rho_0(f) \\ &= \nu \sum_1^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n \\ &\geq \nu^2 \sum_1^{\infty} |a_n|^2 b_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_0|f(0)|^2 + \sum_1^\infty |a_n|^2 b_n &= [f, f] \\
 &\geq \delta^8 [f \circ \varphi, f \circ \varphi] \\
 &= \delta^8 \left[ b_0|f(\varphi(0))|^2 + \sum_1^\infty |a'_n|^2 b_n \right] \\
 &\geq \delta^8 \left[ b_0|f(\varphi(0))|^2 + \nu^2 \sum_1^\infty |a_n|^2 b_n \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$b_0|f(0)|^2 + (1 - \delta^8 \nu^2) \sum_1^\infty |a_n|^2 b_n \geq \delta^8 b_0|f(\varphi(0))|^2.$$

Since  $\varphi(0)$  can be any point of  $\Delta$  we see that  $f(z)$  is bounded in  $\Delta$  by an expression equivalent to its norm. Thus, every element of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is bounded. However, we already know that  $D$  is  $\mathcal{H}$  and since  $D$  contains unbounded functions we must have  $b_0 = 0$ .

### 3. Examples

The Möbius group  $\mathcal{M}$  is composed of the two (abelian) subgroups

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ \varphi_r: -1 < r < 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} = \{ \psi_\theta: -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi \}$$

in the following sense. If

$$\varphi(z) = \lambda \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}, \quad \lambda = e^{ib}, \alpha = re^{it},$$

then

$$\varphi(z) = \psi_{b+it}(\varphi_r(\psi_{-t}(z))), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

We now give two examples which show that a semi-norm can be invariant under either of the groups  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  (but not both) and yet still not be equivalent to  $\rho_0$ .

*Example 1.* Let  $\mathbf{w} = \{w_n\}$  be a sequence of non-negative numbers and let  $H_{\mathbf{w}}$  consist of all analytic functions  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$  on  $\Delta$  for which

$$\rho_{\mathbf{w}}(f) = \left( \sum_0^\infty |a_n|^2 w_n \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \tag{40}$$

The semi-inner product

$$(f, g)_w = \sum_0^\infty a_n \bar{b}_n w_n \tag{41}$$

satisfies (7) and, further,  $(f \circ \psi_\theta, g \circ \psi_\theta)_w = (f, g)_w$  for all  $\theta$  and all  $f, g \in H_w$ . Furthermore, the mapping  $\tau(\theta) = f \circ \psi_\theta$  is a continuous map from the unit circle into  $H_w$  for each  $f \in H_w$ . The form (41) is an inner product precisely when  $w_n > 0$  for all  $n$ . If  $w_1 = 0$  but  $w_2 > 0$  then  $\mathcal{H}_w$  is not equivalent to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

*Example 2.* In the Dirichlet space  $D$  consider the operator

$$(Tf)(z) = (z^2 - 1)f'(z).$$

$T$  is the infinitesimal generator of the one parameter group

$$\tilde{G} = \{C_r; -1 < r < 1\} \quad \text{where } C_r(f) = f \circ \varphi_r.$$

That is,

$$Tf = \frac{d}{dr}(f \circ \varphi_r)|_{r=0}$$

where differentiation is taken in the strong topology of  $D$ .  $T$  is an unbounded, closed, densely defined, purely imaginary operator (see [3; Chapter XII.6] and [2]).

Let  $u$  be a non-negative, measurable function on the imaginary axis and let  $u(T)$  be the positive operator (usually unbounded) corresponding to  $u$  by the usual operational calculus (see [3; Chapter XII]). Let  $H_u$  be the domain of  $u(T)$  and set

$$(f, g)_u = (u(T)f, f)_D, \quad f, g \in H_u.$$

This semi inner-product is invariant under the group  $\tilde{G}$  since  $u(T)$  commutes with  $C_r$  for all  $r$ .

If  $u > 0$  a.e., then  $(\cdot, \cdot)_u$  is an inner product; this inner product is equivalent to that of  $D$  if and only if  $u$  is an invertible element of  $L^\infty$ . Thus, a non-invertible positive element  $u$  of  $L^\infty$  will produce a Hilbert space of analytic functions on  $\Delta$  which is invariant under  $\mathcal{G}$  but which is not the Dirichlet space  $D$ .

*Example 3.* It is possible for both the groups  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  to act on a space simultaneously in such a way that (6)–(12) hold and yet the space is not  $D$ . One simple example is the Hilbert space  $H$  consisting of all analytic functions  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  on  $\Delta$  with

$$\rho^2(f) = \sum_0^\infty n^2 |a_n|^2 = \|f'\|_{H^2}^2 < \infty$$

where  $H^2$  is the Hardy space. Here,  $\rho(f \circ \psi_\theta) = \rho(f)$  for all  $f \in H$  and all  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$  and further

$$\rho(f \circ \varphi_r) \leq \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} \rho(f), \quad 0 < r < 1, f \in H$$

so that  $\mathcal{G}$  acts continuously on  $H$ . But exactly because the action of  $\mathcal{G}$  is not uniformly bounded the conclusion of Theorem 2 can not, and does not, hold.

*Remarks.* (1) The Möbius group  $\mathcal{M}$  is not amenable [4] so the proof of Theorem 2 can not be reduced to the case of Theorem 1 by averaging over  $\mathcal{M}$  as we averaged over  $\mathcal{G}$  in (23).

(2) The arguments that showed that  $s_N$  is comparable to  $N^2$  are really more general and can easily be adapted to prove the following:

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $\{b_n\}$  is a sequence of non-negative numbers and let  $s_k = \sum_0^k b_n$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  be the sequence of partial sums. Assume that  $A(t) = \sum_0^\infty b_n t^n$  converges for all  $0 < t < 1$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There is a constant  $K$  such that  $K^{-1} \leq (1-t)^2 A(t) \leq K$ ,  $0 \leq t < 1$ .*
- (ii) *There is a constant  $L$  such that  $L^{-1} \leq n^{-2} s_n \leq L$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .*

A closely related result appears in [1; Theorem 1.10a]; the authors thank the referee for bringing this reference to their attention.

*Acknowledgment.* J. Arazy wishes to express his appreciation to L.A. Rubel for introducing him to the subject of Möbius invariant spaces and for several valuable discussions on the subject.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. BENNET, D. STEGENGA AND R. TIMONEY, *Coefficients of Bloch and Lipshitz functions*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 25 (1981), pp. 520–531.
2. P. L. BUTZER AND H. BEHRENS, *Semi-groups of operators and approximation*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1967.
3. N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators II*, Interscience, New York 1963.
4. F. GREENLEAF, *Invariant means on topological groups*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1969.
5. L.A. RUBEL AND R.M. TIMONEY, *An extremal property of the Bloch space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 75 (1979), pp. 45–49.

UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA  
 HAIFA, ISRAEL  
 NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY  
 EVANSTON, ILLINOIS  
 THE TECHNION  
 HAIFA, ISRAEL